

**Was
Lenin
A
Communist ?**

**Shah Alam
Published:
Information Centre
For Workers
Freedom**

Note

Lenin was a well known ‘Communist’ globally for many years. But, he was never a communist.

Therefore, we (ICWF) have published some books by proving it by the official records of Lenin on the basis of science of communism-discovered by Marx.

But, Leninist bosses and beneficiaries from the Leninism or disciples of Lenin or blind to Lenin had become angry to us, even without reading our books.

But, they do not hesitate to branded us by so many slang words and blaming us as agent of so many capitalist agencies including CIA without countering our observations, findings etc.

Even, they did not feel shame to say such nonsense without any prove or showing any single word in favor of capitalism for what the capitalist lords has paid us.

We have made request repeatedly to identify any single world in favor of capitalism is our books for what they were not only blaming but also threatening.

Some of them have said that our books are so big and they have no time to waste by reading such books.

Some of my friends has made a request to me to write about Lenin in short for the consumption of all including Leninist those are not boss or beneficiary or blind to Lenin.

I have wrote 3 articles in the same title what I mentioned above. Bengali version of one the 3 articles has published along with a “ Background”. Now, these 3 articles are for online version. Hope, he who is interested to fight against capitalism for communism will come forward to identify any error of us.

Shah Alam

30, July,2015. Dhaka.

Background

Lenin, has served the capitalist interest. But, he claimed that he was a communist. He is known as communist all over the world. Moreover, he is recognized as the founder of Leninism. It's also, recognized that the communists are not only Marxist but also Leninist too. I was known and care him as mentioned. But, I never think it that were Marx and Engels Marxist at all, or they were not in the era of Leninism, therefore, how they were communist as they were not Leninist? Or, I did not recollect that the fact that I never thought that if Marx and Engels did not notice the highest stage of capitalism, then how they wrote the Manifesto of the Communist Party-the death sentence for capitalism. But, without considering such thing I was a boss of a Leninist communist party for long day. Who is called a stupid. Exploiters that is the masters-the founders of the parasiticism was fully known that they do not know the fact about the creation of the earth and life but they do not care to manufactured so many fabricated stories for the sectarian and heinous interest of them to make means of living man by man. Therefore, those false stories are currently considering and indentifying as mythologies. But, yet there are so many followers of such mythologies

is exist and beneficiaries are not so few though it's the period of using the so many scientific instruments.

Lenin also has manufactured so many such stories for his claimed socialist state. Trotsky, Stalin, Mao, Ho-Chi, Kim, Hoxa etc has produced so many stories from their ill motivation but political to make Lenin as a mythological hero. In fact, Leninism is nothing but the mythology of the capitalist era. Though, it was the revolutionary capitalist class who proved the falsification of the substanceless mythologies. But, the reactionary capitalist class has introduced the Leninist mythology for the interest of capital.

Marx and Engels has discovered, formulated, and explained the science of socialism. Thus, there is no option to rebuild the communist movement except uncoverd, uprooted, and unmask the ugly face of clever, fraud, and hypocrite Lenin and Leninist parties. Therefore, we are active to do the same and in this regard we have some books too, in spite of that some friends are interested to know Lenin in short. So, 'Was Lenin a communist' is the result of desire of friends.

Shah Alam

Dhaka

20th November,2014.

WAS LENIN A COMMUNIST? (1)

No.

But yet the beneficiaries of Leninism and blinds to Lenin are claiming and considering him as a communist leader as such as a history builder by founding a socialist state. But the USSR was a state of state capitalism. In fact he tried to create confusion on socialism and destroying the socialist movement to serve and protect the decayed capitalism by founding such state of state capitalism.

Remarkable-

if there is commodity, there is capitalist mode of production. Sure, there was commodity at USSR ;

If there is capital, there is capitalist mode of production. Sure, there was capital at USSR ;

If there is selling and buying , there is capitalist mode of production. Sure, there was selling and buying at USSR;

If there is wage labour, there is capitalist mode of production. Sure, there was wage labour at USSR ;

If there is wage labour there is exploitation, thus, there is capitalist mode of production. Sure, there was exploitation at USSR;

If there is buyer of labour power , there is capitalist mode of production. Sure, there was buyers of labour power at USSR; And

If there is buyer of labour power there is exploiter, thereby there is seller of labour power there is exploited, so, there is class and class rule thereby, there is politics and state for class interest. Sure, USSR was a state.

So, the USSR was a state with capitalist mode of production, thus, it was a state of state capitalism with state owned means of production to control the labour market with extreme dictatorship.

No doubt, the USSR was a state capitalist state by its constitutions of 1918, 1924, 1936 and 1977.

But, the rate of exploitation was much higher at USSR than USA. Benefits and opportunities of workers were lesser than USA. Thus, within 30 years of the USSR it has become the 3rd richest country of the world in the founding year of the World Bank, though there were huge loss of lives and destruction properties by the seven years civil war and 3 years for second world war. But, the Russia under the rule Tsar was a reactionary and poorest state of Europe. Its known fact that where the rate of

exploitation as much as high there is the rate of accumulation of capital is the same too and that is happening in China of the great Leninist leader Mao. Therefore, the story of USSR on SOCIALISM is an ill motivated and intentional fabrication and manufactured political propaganda thus all these stories are bogus, false and lie so, myth.

Lenin, the key founder of the Bolshevik party was never a communist to replace the capitalist society by communist society by the wage slaves of the world. His party – the Bolshevik party was never a working class party to unite the workers of the world for a communist revolution to end the wage slavery by common ownership of means of production for all and by all of the world.

Rather, Bolshevik party was a party for the interest of reactionary capitalist including peasants for the capitalist interest by developing the capitalist mode of production at Russia to increase the volume of capital by exploiting the wage slaves by born declaration of it. Therefore, it was not possible to establish socialism by the action of such reactionary -Bolshevik Party.

Certainly, communism is nothing but the end of wage slavery. Sure, communist revolution is nothing but the culmination of contradiction of sellers and buyers of labour power of the world. Therefore, unity of wage slaves of the world is the first condition to end the

contradiction of sellers and buyers of labour power of the world by the communist revolution for the emancipation by ending the wage slavery. Thus, communist revolution is not national but a universal event, so, communist revolution is not possible in one country alone.

No doubt, replacement of capitalism by a communist revolution is communism, but capitalism is not national but a global system, thus, replacement of capitalism is not possible in one country. So, Communism is not possible in one country alone. Therefore, it was not possible to establish socialism alone at Russia.

Furthermore, “ united action of the leading civilized countries at least is one of the first condition for the emancipation of the proletariat.” Has described so rightly in the Manifesto of the Communist Party. But, Lenin did not care it though he claimed that he was a “communist”, but in fact he had wear a fake dress symbolized with communism and used the name of Marx to cheat the workers of the world. Because, Marx was the discoverer of science of communism, and the term ‘socialism’ was known to the workers-the conquer of communism.

Lenin, Trotsky, Stalin etc has founded their state by a planed military coup by killing 2 persons and arrested 25 guards of Kernasky government.

Noted, military is not wage slaves to produce value, therefore, surplus-value that is capital which is unpaid

labour, thus, the relation between buyers and sellers of labour power is antagonistic, so, solution of such antagonistic relation is communism rather, military force is the tools of exploitative classes by born condition and origin of it, to serve the interest of rulers. Sure, there is conflict among the capitalist class it-self as well as its arms forces too thus, there is so many records of military coup in history.

In fact, State of Lenin was a dumping land for the stock of Germany-the waste and dangerous sufferer by huge stock since 1903 by the so-called peace fact of both countries-March,1918.

Noted, capitalist recession that is stock was the cause of 1st world war. Germany was the attacker to start the First World War to face and solve the problem of its huge stock.

Buyer of labour power is exploiter, therefore, as the chief executive of his state Mr. Lenin was the gang leader of buyers of labour power of the wage slaves of his state. So, Lenin was nothing but a head of the managers of exploiters of his state. Therefore, Lenin has introduced the new economic policy (NEP) without caring his own constitution-1918 to accumulate and accommodate the fruit of exploitation - capital in private level by private sector. In fact, he him-self was a violator of his own constitution, and it's quite natural by a dictator.

Therefore, Lenin was a hypocrite, fraud, cheat and betrayer even with Marx too.

He has used to fire and killed so many wage slaves to control the labour market in his life time, mainly in the period of labour movements.

Records proved that the rate of exploitation at his state was much higher than the USA. Even, he did not pay wage to the workers for Saturday by declaring the day as “ great communist Saturday”, moreover, he used to appropriation the overtime of workers for his state without pay. No doubt, the rate of such type of exploitation by Lenin was not comparable with any executive of traditional capitalist state where there is law against exploitation without lawful payment of wage. Sure, consequence of repeated recession is communism therefore, the decayed capitalism has taken shelter even, to the Leninism-the politics of state capitalism for its survival from non-curable sufferings and bad effects of repeated recession since 1815 to solve the dangerous crisis and problem of the shocking recession overflowed by 1902 and ended by 1st world war.

Lenin was the chief executive of his state till his death but the post, what he hold was not narrated in his own constitution-1918. Therefore, Lenin was a more vile & extreme dictator than the self-declared supreme dictator – Tsar of Russia by mentioning his source of power and function by his own constitution-1906.

It was Lenin who blamed the wage slaves of his state as a bad element. Except a brute and cruel exploiter no one can use such nonsense thing against the workers. Even, Lenin has betrayed with his Bolshevik party by dissolving the Constituent Assembly, without any lawful authority, though the election of Constituent Assembly was held under his government and it was by born commitment of the Bolshevik party. No doubt, his government was rejected by the voters of Russia, by the Constitutional Assembly election thereby, he punished the voters by dissolving the Constitutional Assembly therefore, not the people's sovereignty but the absolute and extreme dictatorship of Lenin has established, though, sovereignty of people of Russia was the by born commitment of the Bolshevik Party.

Lenin has used to rename his own party as "Communist Party" after grabbing the state power to protect the state power to serve the decayed capitalism by cheating and confusing the wage slaves.

The Communist party is not for reformation of state, rather to vanish the state and politics. Because, the communist party is a working class party to unite the workers of the world to fight and vanish the capitalist society by a communist revolution by ending the commodity production to end the wage slavery there-by to end the class rule and class, by ending the politics therefore, by disappearing the state. But, Bolshevik party

was for reformation of state by born declaration of it. Thus, Bolshevik party was not at all a communist party for the same aim. So, no Leninist party is communist party.

Moreover, Communist party is not a transformation of any party, so, party like Bolshevik party have no scope to transform into communist party thus, renaming the Bolshevik party is nothing but an evil job to confuse the workers of the world to serve the decayed capitalism. Therefore, it's nothing less than fraud and cheating.

Lenin has founded the so-called 3rd International with politics of so-called 'right of self-determination of nations' and state capitalism to get support from the outside of Russia and on the other hand to divide workers of the world by nation and country. Though, workers have no nationality and country, but they have a world to win by losing their chain. However, Lenin and his disciples were succeeded to divide the workers of the world by using such politics of Leninism as such as these rubbishes are policies of communism.

Any such policy which is useful or effective to divide the workers of the world is so harmful and dangerous for the interest of the workers. Therefore, Leninism-the corruption of science of communism is an effective and useful political weapons to create illusion to confuse and divide the workers of the world by nation and country thus, the poisonous Leninism is so harmful and

dangerous for the workers of the world; And USSR and the so-called 3rd International was against the interest of workers of the world, thus, Lenin was a proved but clever and masked enemy of workers of the world.

Lenin and his disciples has used to use not only the name of Marx but creating a wrong concept and false view that Lenin was the developer of so-called “Marxism” as Leninism among the workers of the world, thereby Lenin was a great ‘Marxist’ by the ill motive propaganda and falsification of Leninist criminals including Trotsky, Stalin, Mao etc.

Not ‘Marxism’ but Marx has discovered the science of communism. Not master or lord or boss or ideologist or Marxist but Marx was a scientist. Not universal reformer or cult but Marx was a communist. Communist society is a society with a human being where all equally dignified and free, thus there is no lord, or master or boss or cult in communism. Or not cultism or ideology but vanishing the all kinds of ideologies, views, customs and traditions, culture etc rubbishes is the condition for the communism for a scientific human being of a scientific society. Its well known that the abolition of the private property is communism. Therefore, as a communist Marx was against the private property with all rights of inheritance. So, not Marx but all of the world is the owner of the science of communism-discovered by Marx.

Therefore, the very word " Marxism" is not only unscientific but also effective to undermine "COMMUNIST" Marx.

No doubt, communist society is a scientific society win by wage slaves of the world will vanish all such nonsense concepts and ideologies, including Leninism along with all masters, bosses, reformers, clerics, lords, heroes, leaders etc & etc rubbishes.

Therefore, the said claim on Leninism of Leninist bosses and blinds to Lenin is not only bogus, false and lie but also a dangerous and heinous effort to cheat the workers of the world. Thus, Leninism is suicidal for workers. So, as a founder of Leninism –Lenin was a liar, cheater, fraud and betrayer.

Abolition of private property with all rights of inheritance is socialism. But, Lenin has re-chained the people of country side by the chain of private property by his decree of land-1917. Sure, he followed the policy of discrimination to distribute the land among man and woman by his said decree. But, the end of discrimination among the human being even by gender is socialism. Noted, ownership of means of production by society is socialism.

Therefore, to justify the heinous acts and misdeeds of Bolshevik Party and Lenin as communist work they did not hesitate to distort the science of communism-discovered, formulated and explained by Marx and

Engels. Thus, Lenin did it. Even his party -the CPSU did not care to distort the communist manifesto, or Capital by Marx by the Progressive publisher, Moscow, USSR.

Thus, Lenin was never against capitalism rather, a servant to serve and protect the interest of capitalist class and decayed capitalism; there-by his disciple Stalin was one of the three key founders of the IMF- to serve and protect the moribund capitalism by controlling the world economy from a single but mightiest syndicate of the world.

No doubt, states are defunct under the rule of the IMF, thereby, nation state is dead. Though, the capitalists of the world has been claiming the such condition of state is as globalization, in fact, it's the capitalist globalization. Thus, the utility of Leninism of state capitalism has reduced by the globalization with its global authorities. On the other hand consequence of state capitalism is nothing but a failed attempt to protect the decayed capitalism by creating the required conditions for the capital by the private ownership. So, dissolution of the USSR was inevitable and done so by the self-term.

Certainly, history it-self unmasked the ugly face of Lenin and Leninist bosses by the dissolution of the USSR.

So, Lenin was not a communist.

Noted, I have a writings in Bengali with the same title. Therefore, its in English but its not the translation of the that writings as it is.

Shah Alam

13, June, 2015.

Web-site: www.icwfreedom.org

e-mail: whatandwhy2@hotmail.com>

icwfreedom@gmail.com>

On line group:

<https://www.facebook.com/.../www.facebook.com/groups/What.Why/>

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/COMMUNIST.REVOLUTION.UNIVERSAL/>

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/forcommunism/>

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/COMMUNIST.PARTY.GLOBAL/>

Page: <https://www.facebook.com/www.icwfreedom.org>

Mob: (880) +01715345006; and 01675216486.

On line publishing date: 13, June, 2015.

Was Lenin A Communist?

(2)

Was Lenin against man rule by man ? No.

But, communism is a society free from rule many by man.

Was Lenin was for ending rule man by man by working class? No.

But, socialism is a society win by working class alone, where there is no rule man by man.

Was Lenin against political power, politics and political party to disappear all these class weapons to vanish these by a communist revolution by the working class alone? No.

But, communism as a classless society is free from all such class weapons to rule and oppressed.

Noted, politics is nothing but a organized power to oppress and overpowering the others, introduced by the masters for their parasitic interest and inherited by the capitalist class- the last and latest parasitic of the history, based on private property.

The age of human being is not less than 2,00,000 years but the age of private property, class, class rule, class oppression, class weapons - ideology that is religion-the politics of masters, kingdom, king -the supreme politician in his kingdom etc are not more than 5,600 years.

But, capitalism has been creating all conditions to destroy the private property by the existing conditions of capital, thereby, working class- the product of not any nation or country but the exploiter capitalist class will vanish the private property along with all nonsense, originated from the private property.

Was Lenin against the capitalist mode of production to vanish it by a communist revolution- a global event, imposed by the reactionary capitalist class for the commune/ social/ common ownership of the means of production, transportation and communication? No.

But, socialism/communism is a society with commune/social/ common ownership of the means of production, transportation and communication to

produce, transport and communicate all required social necessities by the all able bodied with an association of all of the world to coordinate, transport and communicate all required things to the all of the world.

Was Lenin against all nonsense and rubbishes including ideologies, originated from the private property for a scientific society, win by only working class by disappearing the capitalist class, currently suffered by serious and dangerous insanity ? No.

But, socialism/communism is a scientific society thus, its free from all nonsense unscientific things including Leninism-the corruption of science of communism, discovered by Marx by discovering the code of capital and the code of society for change.

Was Lenin for a communist revolution- the culmination of contradiction of sellers and buyers of labour power of the world to end the exploitation -the cause contradiction between the capitalist class and working class as sellers and buyers of labour power to produce commodity to accumulate capital? No.

Rather, he founded a state of state capitalism by a planed military coup, where the rate of exploitation was much higher than USA.

But, a communist society is the outcome of the contradiction of sellers and buyers of labour power of the world.

Was Lenin has served the interest of working class? No.

Rather, he served the capitalist interest by his politics of state capitalism and so-called right of self-determination of nations to protect the decayed capitalism by confusing and dividing the workers of the world by nation, country and a false & bogus political but motivated propaganda about socialism, capitalism, communist party, communist revolution, communism etc.

Therefore, Lenin was a historical cheater and fraud by practicing the Leninist politics by committing huge crimes against the working class.

But, communism is society free from all such crimes-originated from the private property.

So, Lenin was never a communist.

In details: Fall of USSR Self-Term, a book, published by the ICWF, @ www.icwfreedom.org .

Was Lenin A Communist?

(3)

Was Lenin against wage slavery? No.

But, a communist is against wage slavery.

Was Lenin for vanishing the wage slavery by the working class ? No.

But, a communist is for vanishing the wage slavery by the working class.

Was Lenin against the production of commodity? No.

But, a communist is against the production of commodity.

Was Lenin for vanishing the commodity production system? No.

But, a communist is for vanishing the commodity production system.

Was Lenin against capital-the unpaid labour? No.

But, a communist is against capital.

Was Lenin for vanishing the capital-the unpaid part of commodity?No. .

But, a communist is for the vanishing the capital-the fruit

of unfairness.

Was Lenin against exploitation ? No.

But, a communist is against exploitation.

Was Lenin against the selling and buying system? No.

But, a communist is against selling and buying system.

Was Lenin for vanishing the selling and buying system by the working class to end the exploitation and miseries of wage slaves for the emancipation of wage slaves? No.

But, a communist is working for the same aim.

Was Lenin against the trade and commerce ? No.

But, a communist is the against trade and commerce.

Was Lenin for disappearance of the trade and commerce?
No.

But, a communist is for the disappearance of the trade and commerce.

Was Lenin against the private property-the cause of all evils? No.

But, a communist is against the private property.

Was Lenin for abolition of the private property-the cause of all discrimination among the human being including sex? No.

But, a communist is for abolition of the private property with all rights of inheritance.

Was Lenin against the killing, violence, torture etc nonsense? No.

But, a communist is against the killing, violence, torture etc nonsense those are against humanity.

Was Lenin for disappearing the killing, violence etc nonsense by the united action of workers of the world ?

No.

But, a communist is for disappearance of the killing,

violence, rape etc nonsense by the united action of workers of the world.

Was Lenin against class weapons and tools including political party, state to vanish it by the working class alone ? No.

But, a communist is for the vanishing of all class weapons and tools including political party by the working class alone for communism - a classless society.

Was Lenin against the reactionary peasants? No.

But, a communist is against all reactionary forces including peasants to vanish all such reactionaries by the working class alone.

Was Lenin against ideology to vanish it by a communist revolution by the working class alone for a scientific society-socialism? No.

But, a communist is a scientist is for the vanishing of all ideologies including Leninism-the corruption of science of communism, discovered by Marx for scientific society by working class alone.

So, Lenin was never a communist.

The End